UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/621,260 | 07/16/2003 | Rong Xiao | MS1-1528US | 9728 |
| 22801 LEE & HAYES | 7590 10/20/200 S PLLC | EXAMINER | | |
| 421 W RIVERS | SIDE AVENUE SUITE | YUAN, KATHLEEN S | | |
| SPOKANE, WA | A 99201 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2624 | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/20/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/621,260 | XIAO ET AL. | |
| | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | KATHLEEN S. YUAN | 2624 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>07 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(the statement of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of the period of extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount of | g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII 36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropria | n. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee ate extension fee |
| under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | than three months after the mailing date | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in beta appeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converge NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [| · | - | - |
| how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | rided below or appended. | rbe entered and an e. | Apidilation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,26-31,41,43-45,51,55,57-59 and 67</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>2-25,32-40,42,46-</u> <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> | <u>50,52-54,56,60-66,68</u> . | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | ıl and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but The amended claims would require further consideration | | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Jingge Wu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2624 | | | |
| | | | |



Application No.